



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/241,994	02/02/99	HICKLING	R TECHCON.001A

SCOTT R HANSEN ESQ
OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY LLP
2029 CENTURY PARK EAST 38TH
LOS ANGELES CA 90067

WM01/0604

EXAMINER

TSE, Y

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2634

DATE MAILED:

06/04/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/241,994

Applicant

Hickling

Examiner

Young Tse

Group Art Unit

2634



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Young Tse(3) Ronald M. Hickling(2) Scott R. Hansen

(4) _____

Date of Interview May 30, 2001Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: 1, 7, 13, and 14

Identification of prior art discussed:

Kotowski et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,561,660)Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) N/A

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant explains the difference between the present invention of claims 1, 7, and 14 and the prior art. The present invention provides a same clock signal to both a continuous time commutator and a core delta-sigma modulator of a translating delta-sigma modulator which is different over the prior art. Applicant will amend claims 1, 7, and 14 to overcome the art rejection based on the prior art and cancel claim 13.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Young Tse
YOUNG TSE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2634